a new mixture favoring the less polar isomer 5 by a ratio of at least $20: 1$ was produced. The spectroscopic studies on the spiro ketals and their acetates established the structure for 5 and $\mathbf{6}$ as indicated. ${ }^{6}$

Being encouraged by our successful total synthesis of lasalocid $\mathrm{A},{ }^{7}$ we planned to form the crucial carbon-carbon bond between the left and right halves 2 and $\mathbf{3}$ by aldol reaction. After many unsuccessful attempts, we have found that this aldol reaction is nicely effected by freshly prepared ( $i$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}\right)_{2} \mathrm{NMgBr}^{8}$ in THF and furthermore that the ratio of the two diastereomeric aldols 7 and $\mathbf{8}$ is sensitive to the reaction temperature. The following ratios of 7 and 8 were observed at the indicated temperature: $\sim 1: 1$ at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(71 \%$ yield; $90 \%$ yield based on the consumed ketone 3), $\sim 2: 1$ at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(60 \% ; 91 \%)$, $>5: 1$ at $-50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(30 \% ; 90 \%)$, and $>8: 1$ at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}(21 \% ; 92 \%)$. The diastereomeric aldols $7^{5}\left({ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.25(3 \mathrm{H}$, s), $3.27(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.68(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.60(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.31(5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}) ; \alpha^{22} \mathrm{D}$ $\left.+36.3^{\circ}\left(\mathrm{c} 0.95, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)^{9}\right)$ and $\mathbf{8}^{5}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right.$ NMR $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta 3.25$ $(6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 3.67(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 4.61(2 \mathrm{H}$, a very close AB$), 7.30(5 \mathrm{H}$, s); $\left.\alpha^{22} \mathrm{D}+46.1^{\circ}\left(c 0.52, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)^{9}\right)$ could be separated by preparative layer chromatography (Merck silica gel plate ( 0.5 mm ), ether-pentane ( $5: 4$ ), five developments). Based on Cram's rule, the desired stereochemistry was tentatively assigned to the major aldol, which was later confirmed by successful transformation of 7 into monensin (1).

Following the conditions that we established in the model series, we subjected the aldol 7 to the following sequence of reactions: (1) 1 atm of $\mathrm{H}_{2}, 10 \% \mathrm{Pd} / \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}-\mathrm{AcOH}$ (100:5), RT, 30 min ; (2) CSA, wet $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}-\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ (3:1), RT, 1 h ; (3) $1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{NaOH}-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{OH}(1: 5), 60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~h}$. Step 2 in this sequence was required to equilibrate the spiro ketal center and also to hydrolyze the tertiary methoxy group at the $\mathrm{C}(25)^{2}$ position. Preparative layer chromatography (Merck silica gel plate ( 0.5 mm ), ether, three developments) allowed isolation of synthetic monensin $(\mathbf{1})^{10}$ as its sodium salt. The overall yield from 7 to 1 was $53 \%$. The synthetic substance was found to be identical with natural monensin in every respect ( $\mathrm{NMR}, \mathrm{IR}$, $\alpha_{D}$, mass spectrum, melting point, mixture melting point, TLC).
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## Synthesis of Monomeric Niobium- and TantalumBenzyne Complexes and the Molecular Structure of $\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{2}$

## Sir:

Many transition metal complexes contain organic ligands which are highly reactive or unknown in the free state (e.g., cyclobutadiene, ${ }^{1}$ trimethylenemethane, ${ }^{2}$ carbenes, ${ }^{3}$ and small-ring acetylenes ${ }^{4}$ ). A benzyne $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ complex has been postulated as an intermediate in the thermal decomposition of $\mathrm{Ti}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ on the basis of labeling and trapping experiments, ${ }^{5}$ and recent results by Erker ${ }^{6}$ support the formation of a benzyne intermediate, $\mathrm{Zr}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, in the thermal exchange of aryl groups between Zr -$\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}(\text { aryl })_{2}$ and aromatic solvents. To our knowledge, however, no compounds containing a benzyne molecule $\eta^{2}$ bonded to a single transition metal have been isolated. ${ }^{7}$ Our studies of metallocyclopentane complexes ${ }^{12}$ led us to develop a synthesis of tantalum-olefin complexes, $\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}=\mathrm{CHR}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, by decomposition of thermally unstable dialkyl complexes, $\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{R}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ( $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{Me}$ ).$^{13}$ We now report the extension of this principle, a form of the $\beta$-hydride elimination process by which many transition metal alkyl complexes decompose, ${ }^{14}$ to the preparation of stable benzyne complexes. ${ }^{15}$
$\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{3}{ }^{13}$ reacts slowly ( $\sim 24 \mathrm{~h}$ ) with 1 equiv of $\mathrm{Zn}\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2}$ in benzene to give neopentane and a dark brown solution containing $\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}(\mathbf{1})$; no intermediates can be observed by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR. Ta $\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ can be isolated as yellow crystals in $44 \%$ yield by removing the benzene in vacuo and recrystallizing the gummy residue from toluene at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The ${ }^{\prime} \mathrm{H} N M R$ spectrum of $\mathbf{1}\left(\tau, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{6}\right)$ shows a singlet for the $\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}$ group at 8.26 (relative area 15) and a symmetric $\mathrm{AA}^{\prime} \mathrm{BB}^{\prime}$ pattern consisting of two multiplets at 2.07 and 2.78 (relative area 4), consistent with its formulation as a benzyne complex. Since 1 is not soluble enough for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR or a cryoscopic molecular weight determination, we sought a more soluble derivative.

Adding 1 mol of phenyllithium to a suspension of $\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{13}$ in ether at $-78{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ initially produces a ho-

Scheme I





Figure 1. Molecular structure of $\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{2}$.
mogeneous yellow solution; when this is warmed to room temperature LiCl precipitates from solution. Removal of the ether in vacuo, followed by recrystallization from pentanetoluene ( $80: 20$ ), gives yellow crystalline $\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{3}$ (2) in $71 \%$ isolated yield. ${ }^{16}$ Unlike the presumed intermediate in formation of $\mathbf{1}\left(\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CMe}_{3}\right)\right.$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right), \mathbf{2}$ is fairly stable thermally. It requires 30 min at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in chlorobenzene for $\mathbf{2}$ to decompose to give methane (by GLC) and $\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ (3) (Scheme 1). Pentane-soluble 3 can be obtained as pale yellow, relatively air-stable crystals in $80 \%$ yield by removing the chlorobenzene in vacuo and recrystallizing the residue from pentane at -30 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{17}$ The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum of $\mathbf{3}$ is similar to that of $\mathbf{1}$ with an additional peak due to the methyl groups. ${ }^{17}$ A cryoscopic molecular weight determination indicated that 3 is a monomer in cyclohexane (calcd, 422 ; found, $406 \pm 20$ ). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ gateddecoupled ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectrum is also consistent with the formulation of a benzyne complex, the most notable feature being the peak at 230.5 ppm which shows no directly bonded proton coupling, and which is therefore assigned to the metal-bonded carbon atoms of the benzyne ligand. ${ }^{18}$ Since the $270-\mathrm{MHz}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and $67.89-\mathrm{MHz}^{13} \mathrm{C}$ spectra of 3 are temperature invariant down to $-80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, we believed that the benzyne ligand was bound so as to complete the pseudo-tetragonal-pyramidal coordination sphere.

The niobium analogue of 3 can be prepared by a similar procedure from $\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{3}{ }^{19}(4$, Scheme I); 4 is significantly less stable than 2 and decomposes at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ $\left(t_{1 / 2} \approx 5 \mathrm{~h}\right)$ to give yellow, crystalline $\mathrm{Nb}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{2}(5)$ in $87 \%$ isolated yield. Its ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are similar to those of $3 ;{ }^{20}$ the $\alpha$-carbon atom resonance of the benzyne ligand is found at 213 ppm and is broadened by coupling to niobium.

Both 3 (at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) and 5 (at $30^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) react with ethylene to give the benzotantallocyclopentene complexes, 6 ( $86 \%$ isolated yield $)^{21 a}$ and 7 ( $75 \%$ isolated yield), respectively. Their ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra are characteristic ${ }^{21 \mathrm{~b}}$ and temperature dependent (cf. tantallocyclopentane complexes ${ }^{12}$ ); $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ for pseudorotation (interchanging Me and $\mathrm{Me}^{\prime}$ ) $=13.6 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{kcal}$ mol ${ }^{-1}$ for $6 .{ }^{22}$ It is surprising that $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ do not react more rapidly with ethylene since the reaction of $\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$ $\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ with ethylene to give $\mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)$ $\mathrm{TaCH} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{CH}$ is fast, even at $-30^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{13}$

The molecular geometry of $\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{2}$ has been determined unequivocally by means of a single-crystal X-ray structural analysis. The complex crystallizes in the centrosymmetric tricinlic space group $P \overline{1}$, with $a=8.8789$ (12) $\AA, b=9.2365(14) \AA, c=11.3174(18) \AA, \alpha=71.769$ $(12)^{\circ}, \beta=76.101(12)^{\circ}, \gamma=73.142(11)^{\circ}, V=832.2(2) \AA^{3}$, and $\rho(\mathrm{calcd})=1.685 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}$ for mol wt 422.35 , and $Z=2$. Diffraction data were collected by a $\theta-2 \theta$ scan technique using a Syntex P2, automated diffractometer and were corrected for
absorption via an empirical method based upon a series of $\psi$ scans of close to axial reflections ( $\mu=69.5 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ ). The structure was solved by a combination of Patterson, differenceFourier, and full-matrix least-squares refinement techniques. All atoms, including all hydrogen atoms, were located and their positional and thermal parameters (anisotropic for Ta and C ; isotropic for H ) refined. The resulting discrepancy indices are $R_{F}=2.5 \%$ and $R_{w F}=2.0 \%$ for all 3836 reflections with $4^{\circ}<$ $2 \theta<55^{\circ}$ (Mo K $\alpha$ radiation). No reflections were rejected.

The molecular geometry and selected bond distances are shown in Figure 1. The central tantalum atom is linked directly to an $\eta^{5}$-pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligand ( $\mathrm{Ta}-\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{Cp}$ ) distances range from 2.408 (3) to 2.500 (4) $\AA$, averaging 2.452 $\AA$ ), to two methyl groups ( $\mathrm{Ta}-\mathrm{C}(11)=2.169$ (6) and Ta $C(12)=2.181(6) \AA)$, and to an " $\eta^{2}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ " ligand. Surprisingly, the benzyne ligand plane (which makes an angle of $2.3^{\circ}$ with the $\mathrm{Ta}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ plane) is oriented essentially perpendicular $\left(85.3^{\circ}\right)$ to the plane of the cyclopentadienyl system. The six-membered ring has a root-mean-square deviation from planarity of only $0.006 \AA$ and the tantalum atom lies $0.078 \AA$ from this plane. A careful survey of carbon-carbon bond distances around the six-membered carbocyclic ring shows a pattern of $D_{3 h}$ symmetry-the possible $D_{6 h}$ symmetry is broken by a slight, but nevertheless unmistakable, alternation in bond length around the system. The $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ bond length of 1.364 (5) $\AA$ is not distinguishable from $C(3)-$ $C(4)=1.362(6)$ or $C(5)-C(6)=1.375(6) \AA$. However, neither this information nor the $\mathrm{Ta}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ and $\mathrm{Ta}-\mathrm{C}(2)$ bond lengths help us decide between a benzyne and $o$-phenylene description, a difference which is undoubtedly more formal than real. Even the fact that the benzyne ligand must be rapidly rotating about the $\mathrm{Ta}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ bond in solution $\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger} \leq\right.$ $9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-123}$ ) is of little help. Such rotation is well established for acetylene ligands ${ }^{24}$ and we know that ethylene rotates in $\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{5}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right)\left(\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{4}\right) \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\left(\Delta G^{\ddagger}{ }_{203}=9.3 \pm 0.3 \mathrm{kcal}\right.$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}{ }^{13}$ ), but pseudo-tetragonal-pyramidal tantallocyclopentane complexes, ${ }^{12 b}$ as well as 6,7 , and simple alkyl complexes such as $\mathrm{Ta}\left(\eta^{3}-\mathrm{C}_{5} \mathrm{Me}_{5}\right) \mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{Cl}^{13}$ are known to pseudorotate with $\Delta G^{\ddagger}=10-15 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

We believe that the orientation of the benzyne ligand is dictated by overlap of the benzene's $\pi$-electron system with a low-lying $\pi$-type metal orbital which is directed toward the two empty coordination sites in a pseudo tetragonal pyramid. The metal is seriously electron deficient. Delocalization of $\pi$ electrons throughout the $\mathrm{Ta}-\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ system may be part of the reason why $\mathbf{3}$ and $\mathbf{5}$ only reluctantly react with ethylene.
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(22) At $-52^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ two methyl peaks are found at 69.8 and 79.0 ppm in the ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ H\} NMR spectrum in toluene- $d_{8} . \delta \nu_{\infty}$ for the two methyl groups is $138 \pm$ 10 Hz and $k_{\mathrm{c}}=308 \mathrm{~s}^{-1}$ at $T_{\mathrm{c}}=288 \pm 10 \mathrm{~K}$ or $\Delta G^{+}=13.6 \pm 0.5 \mathrm{kcal}$ $\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
(23) By ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR, if $\delta \nu_{\infty}$ is estimated as 70 Hz and $T_{\mathrm{c}}=193 \mathrm{~K}$, then $\Delta G^{\ddagger}$ (maximum) $=9.2 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. By ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR, if $\delta \nu_{\infty}$ is estimated as $81 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{HH}}$ $=10 \mathrm{~Hz}$ and $T_{\mathrm{c}}=178 \mathrm{~K}$, then $\Delta G^{\ddagger}($ maximum $)=8.4 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.
(24) L. M. Jackman and F. A. Cotton, Ed., ''Dynamic Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy", Academic Press, New York, 1975, and references therein.
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## Synthesis and Structure of the Imidazolate Bridged Dicopper(II) Ion Incorporated into a Circular Cryptate Macrocycle

Sir:
Discrete imidazolate (im) bridged binuclear metal complexes are of interest to prepare and characterize since units of this kind are known' or proposed ${ }^{2}$ to exist in metalloproteins. Previously we described a number of imidazolate bridged dicopper(II) complexes. ${ }^{3}$ Related bis[methylmercury(H)], ${ }^{4}$ bis[porphyrinatoiron(II)] and -[porphyrinatomanganese(II)], 5 cobalt and ruthenium ammine, ${ }^{6}$ as well as additional dicopper(II) ${ }^{7}$ compounds are also known. Some of these compounds have been shown to undergo pH dependent imidazolate bridge splitting reactions, ${ }^{3,4}$ of relevance to proposed enzyme mechanisms. ${ }^{8}$ Additional solution studies would be greatly facilitated if the imidazolate bridged bimetallic center were incor-


Figure 1. Structure of $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mathrm{imH})_{2}(\mathrm{im}) \subset \mathrm{A}\right]^{3+}$ showing the $40 \%$ probability thermal ellipsoids. The primed and unprimed atoms are related by a crystallographically required twofold symmetry axis. Selected bond distances (in àngstroms; esd, $\sim 0.01 \AA$ ) follow: $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1), 2.033 ; \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(11)$, 1.946; $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2), 2.251 ; \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3), 2.131 ; \mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(21), 2.133$. Selected bond angles (in degrees; esd, $\sim 0.4^{\circ}$ ) follow: $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(1), 171.5$ : $\mathrm{N}(11)-$ $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(21), 94.2$ : $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Cu}(\mathrm{N}(2), 98.9$ : $\mathrm{N}(11)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3), 89.6$; $\mathrm{N}(1)-$ $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2), 83.2 ; \mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3), 83.0 ; \mathrm{N}(1)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N} 921), 93.7$ : $\mathrm{N}(21)-$ $\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(2), 97.6 ; \mathrm{N}(21)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3), 127.9$; $\mathrm{N}(2)-\mathrm{Cu}-\mathrm{N}(3), 133.1$. The copper atom is displaced from the plane defined by $\mathcal{N}(2)$, $\mathcal{N}(3)$, and $N(21)$ by $0.15 \AA$ toward $N(11)$.
porated into a ligating cavity that would prevent the two metal ions from migrating away from each other when the bridge is broken. The ligand 4,5-bis[2-(2-pyridyl)ethyliminomethyl]imidazolate (bpim) was synthesized for this purpose, but the imidazolate bridge in $\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ bpim ${ }^{3+}(1)$ is too stable, remaining

$\mathrm{Cu}_{2}$ bpim ${ }^{3+} \quad 1$
intact down to pH 4.25 . ${ }^{3 \mathrm{a}}$ Examination of the structure of the $\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{dien}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mathrm{im})\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{+}$cation ${ }^{3 \mathrm{c}}$ (2) suggested that

$\left[\left(\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{dien}\right)_{2} \mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mathrm{im})\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{2}\right]^{+} \quad 2$
circular cryptate macrocycles such as 3 (macrocycle A), in which two five-atom chains link the two diethylenetriamine moieties, would be ideally suited to girdle the $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mathrm{im})\right]^{3+}$ ion. Here we report the synthesis and X-ray crystal structure of one such compound, $\left[\mathrm{Cu}_{2}(\mathrm{imH})_{2}(\mathrm{im}) \subset \mathrm{A}\right]\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}\right)_{3} .{ }^{10}$


The ligand $\mathrm{A}^{10}$ was prepared as a colorless oil in $77 \%$ yield by detosylation of the monocycle amine tetratosylate (compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ in ref 9 ) using $\mathrm{HBr}, \mathbf{4 0} \%$ in acetic acid, in the presence of 12 equiv of phenol at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 h . A 60 mM solution of the macrocycle in 1.25 mL of methanol was slowly added to 3 mL of a 50 mM methanolic solution of $\mathrm{Cu}\left(\mathrm{NO}_{3}\right)_{2} \cdot 3 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. To the resulting deep blue solution was added 1.3 mL of 0.1 N sodium imidazolate in $90 \%$ methanol followed by an excess

